



Gazdaság- és
Társadalomtudományi Kar



GM Conference

Global Management Conference

*Globalization, Sustainability and
Development*



CONFERENCE PROCEEDING



Gödöllő, Hungary
4-7 May 2010



Gazdaság- és
Társadalomtudományi Kar



Media Sponsor:



GLOBAL MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE.

Conference proceeding

Gödöllő
2011

Edited by the
Editorial Board
Dr. Tov Assogbavi – *Chairman of the Editorial Board*
Dr. László Vasa
Dr. Henrietta Nagy

Published by
Szent István University
2103 Gödöllő, Páter Károly utca 1.

Editor in charge
Dr. László Vasa
Dr. Henrietta Nagy

ISBN 978-963-269-233-3

SCIENTIFIC BOARD

Dr. László Villányi (Szent István University, Hungary)	Dr. Sara Alpisbayeva (Economic Research Institute, Kazakhstan)
Dr. László Guth (Szent István University, Hungary)	
Dr. Róbert Magda (Károly Róbert College, Hungary)	Dr. Ali Abishev (Kazakh Economic University named after Ryskulov, Kazakhstan)
Dr. József Káposzta (Szent István University, Hungary)	
Dr. Henrietta Nagy (Szent István University, Hungary)	Dr. Magdalena Hrabankova (South Bohemian University, Czech Republik)
Dr. István Fehér (Szent István University, Hungary)	
Dr. László Vasa (Szent István University, Hungary)	Dr. Dagmar Skodova Parmova (South Bohemian University, Czech Republik)
Dr. Sándor J. Zsarnóczai (Szent István University, Hungary)	
Dr. Tov Assogbavi (Laurentian University, Canada)	Dr. Olena Vinogradova (Ukraine)
Dr. Maurice Grezda (Laurentian University, Canada)	Dr. Alexander Stratan (Institute of Economics, Statistics and Finance, Moldova)
Dr. Niar Hotniar (Gunadarma University, Indonesia)	
Dr. Tengiz Urushadze (State Agrarian University, Georgia)	Dr. Voicu Succala (Technical University of Cluj, Romania)
Dr. Mikhail Fedorov (Ural State University of Economics, Russia)	Dr. Hanon Barabaner (Institute of Economics and management, Estonia)
Dr. Natalia Vlasova (Ural State University of Economics, Russia)	Dr. Kiril Todorov (University of National and World Economics, Bulgaria)
Dr. Elena Kurilova (Ural State University of Economics, Russia)	Dr. Hanns Pichler (Wirtschaftsuniversität Wien, Austria)
	Dr. Karl Viehe (George Washington University, USA)
Dr. Nadezda Jakovlevna Kaliuzhnova (Irkutsk State University, Russia)	Dr. Vardges Hovhannisyan (University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA)
Dr. Inna Antokhonova (East Siberian State Technological University, Russia)	Dr. Berndt Hallier (European Retail Institute, Germany)
	Dr. Wolfgang Klenner (Ruhr Universität, Germany)
Dr. Aigul Toxanova (Economic Research Institute, Kazakhstan)	

EDITORIAL

This Volume contains an extensive summary of most of the papers presented during the third annual international conference of Global Management (GM) Conference held in Gödöllő/Budapest, Hungary, May 04 – May 07, 2011 and focusing on “Globalization, Sustainability and Development”. This volume is part of the continuing efforts of Global Academic Network / CISRO Institute of Management to make available current research findings to practitioners and academics.

The **Global Management Conference (GM Conference)** was established three years ago by CISRO Institute of Management as a forum dedicated to fostering and promoting global management studies for sustainable economic development. The GM conference, under the leadership of a program committee consisting of international scholars and practitioners, has become one of the respected forums for exchanges between academics and professionals. The conference aims:

- To promote **research** pertaining to global management issues across the full spectrum of organizations;
- To encourage **integration** and **exchange** of knowledge among academics and professionals worldwide;
- To develop **frameworks** for a better understanding of the dynamics of globalization in the process of sharing knowledge and technologies aimed at sustainable development.

The GM conference continues to evolve into a leading global conference since its launch, thanks to the immense support provided by many dedicated individuals and institutions. The objectives and far-reaching visions of the GM conference have generated interest and excitement among academics and practitioners around the world.

The GM conference is indebted to all those responsible for this year’s program, particularly those who served as reviewers and track chairs. Among members of the organizing committee, those representing Szent István University, are acknowledged for the excellent work coordinating arrangements for the conference venue, collaborating with our Hungarian partners, and assuring a memorable conference experience for participants. Special thanks are extended to Dr. László Vasa and Dr. Henrietta Nagy from Szent István University, Maurice Grzeda, from Laurentian University, Sébastien Azondékon from University of Québec in Outaouais (UQO), Dr. Komlan Zedzro from University of Quebec in Montreal (UQAM), Dr. Hotniar Siringoringo from Gunadarma University. Thanks also to the GM conference officers and Board of Directors.

Our appreciation also extends to the authors of papers presented in the conference. The quality of papers submitted attests to the growing reputation of the GM conference.

We would like to extend our personal thanks to Prof, Dr. László Villányi, Dean of the Faculty of Economics of Szent István University for his support. Special acknowledgement and thanks to our esteemed sponsors (**Napi Gazdaság**) for making this publication possible.

Dr. Tov Assogbavi, GM Conference Chair
Prof, Laurentian University,
President, CISRO Institute of Management

CONTENTS

ACCOUNTING	8
DONATIEN AVELÉ - GÉRARD FILLION	9
SZILVIA MÉHESNÉ BEREK	25
AGRICULTURE MANAGEMENT	30
DAGMAR ŠKODOVÁ - PARMOVÁ AND EVA CUDLÍNOVÁ	31
ZSUZSANNA KASSAI – KRISZTIÁN RITTER – PÁL GODA	44
LIGETVÁRI FERENC – ZSARNÓCZAI J. SÁNDOR – HAMED ABDOUSLAM ABDORHIM.....	50
ANAR HATAMOV	58
LIGETVÁRI, FERENC – ZSARNÓCZAI, J. SÁNDOR – KHALIF, ABDUSSALAM ASHOUR	60
ZSARNÓCZAI, J. SÁNDOR – LIGETVÁRI, FERENC – KHALIF, ABDUSSALAM ASHOUR	65
ZSARNÓCZAI J. SÁNDOR – GUTH LÁSZLÓ – MUGHRAM ALI YAHIA	71
ZSARNÓCZAI J. SÁNDOR – VASA LÁSZLÓ – MUBARAK RASHID AL BOIAININ.....	77
VIRÁG SZABÓ – KINGA NAGYNÉ PÉRCSI.....	84
LASZLO VASA.....	88
ECONOMICS	98
LÁSZLÓ ATTILA - CSAPÓ	99
IFFATH SULTANA.....	102
KAHRAMON SHAAKRAMOV	113
SZALAY ZSUZSANNA E. – DOBOS IMRE	116
NADEZDA YA. KALIUZHNOVA.....	122
FINANCE, BANKING, INSURANCE	124
BINAY BHUSHAN CHAKRABARTI - ORR BEN NATHEN.....	125
E. SUSY SUHENDRA - TEDDY OSWARI.....	141
FRED ROBINS.....	148
LASZLO SZEMELYI.....	155
TOV ASSOGBAVI - JEAN-FRANÇOIS DÉMORÉ -KOMLAN SEDZRO	156
SAID ELFAKHANI – WAYNE MACKIE.....	157
GENERAL PAPERS	184
ALEXANDRU STRATAN - VICTORIA CLIPA	185
MEHMET BASAR - TUĞBERK SECKIN - MELIK KAMISLI.....	191
HENRIETTA NAGY – JÓZSEF KÁPOSZTA – ADRIENN NAGY – KITTI KOLLÁR	201
KITTI KOLLÁR – JÓZSEF KÁPOSZTA – ADRIENN NAGY – HENRIETTA NAGY	206
IVETT SZÉLES	211
GLOBAL WARMING AND ENERGY	218
CSEGŐDI, TIBOR LÁSZLÓ - FARKAS, TIBOR	219
CSABA FOGARASSY	228
HEALTH CARE MANAGEMENT.....	236
BRIDGET UYOYOU IMONIKEBE.....	237
SALTANAT ESENTAEVNA ORYNBASSAROVA	238
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	242
TAMAS KOPLYAY - LISA SANCHEZ - DOUGLAS LLOYD	243
MANAGEMENT	264

AMINU MAMMAN - KOJO SAFFU - ANGELA LEMAIRE	265
ANATOLY K.KAZANTSEV - IRINA A.NIKITINA.....	274
CELAL HAKAN KAGNICIOGLU	284
DOROTHY ROULY HARATUA PANDJAITAN - IR. HOTNIAR SIRINGORINGO	292
FARSHAD MOSHIRI - HASHEM PAZHOUHESH.....	301
GOU-FONG LIAW	306
JANOS FEHER - JOZSEF POOR - JAMES PORTWOOD	317
JO RHODES - PETER LOK - DAVID WALTERS	323
JÓZSEF POÓR - ZSUZSA KAROLINY - FERENC FARKAS - KATALIN DOBRAI - ALLEN ENGLE.....	334
KAZIMIERZ ZARAS - BRYAN BOUDREAU-TRUDEL - ANDRÉ GBODOSSOU	347
LIBENA KANTNEROVA.....	348
MAREK PRYMON	359
MAURICE GRZEDA.....	365
MEGHA JAIN - ANGELINA HANH NHAT LE - MICHAEL CHIH-HUNG WANG - JULIAN MING SUNG CHENG	374
MOHAMMAD SHADAB KHALIL - JULIA YING-CHAO LIN - JULIAN MING-SUNG CHENG - JOY HUI-TING XIAO	375
MUKASHEV TIMUR ABUGALIEVICH - NABIYEV ERBOZ NABIEVICH	376
NORIIKO TAKEUCHI - TOMOKAZU TAKEUCHI	380
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	395
AINA IRINTSOA MARILALA RAMAHAIMANANA - SÉBASTIEN H. AZONDÉKON	396
THEODOROS KOSMANIS - SOFIE KROL - MARK PECQUEUR - ANTOANETA DOBREVA - GEORGI GEORGIEV - VASKO DOBREV	403
SME MANAGEMENT	407
ABDELHADI NAJI.....	408
ALIDOU OUEDRAOGO	420
VIVI KOFFI	428
TOURISM AND HOSPITALITY MANAGEMENT.....	443
JÓZSEF KÁPOSZTA – HENRIETTA NAGY – ADRIENN NAGY – KITTI KOLLÁR	444
S.A. KALENOVA	452

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE INDONESIA FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND NON-FOREIGN EXCHANGE BANKS

E. Susy Suhendra - Teddy Oswari

ABSTRACT

This paper analyzes the financial performance of foreign exchange bank and non-foreign exchange banks in Indonesia through financial data during the period 2000-2009. Concentrate on the discussion of the variable performance indicators, namely the banking is Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Return on Equity (ROE), Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR), Non Performing Loan (NPL), Earning Ability (EA) and Return on Asset (ROA).

The average ROA is 3.71%, the lowest ROA of -7.11% is owned by Permata bank ROA and the highest 98.72% owned by the bank Ganesha. The average ROE is 13.45%, with the lowest ROE of -14.76 Ganesha owned by the bank and the highest ROE 25.66% owned by Commerce Bank. LDR average is 70.14%, the lowest LDR of 28.00% is owned by Permata and the bank's highest LDR 188.90% owned by Eksekutif International Bank. The average CAR is 19.09%, with the lowest CAR of 9.95% is owned by the bank's highest CAR Mayora Bank and 24.21% owned by Bumiputera Indonesia Bank. The average EA is 86.01%, the lowest EA of 83.12% is owned by Kesawan bank EA and the highest 88.02% owned by the Central Asia bank. The average NPL is 3.8%, the lowest NPL of 4.87% is owned by Swadesi bank ROA and the highest 6.66% owned by the Kesawan bank.

Less successful foreign bank in its performance due to increase assets and capital is not managed optimally which can be seen from the CAR, ROE, LDR, NPL, EA, ROA is not in accordance with the standards required by Bank Indonesia, that is $\geq 12\%$ (CAR), $\geq 12\%$ (ROE), $85\% - 110\%$ (LDR), $\geq 5\%$ (NPL), $\geq 90\%$ (EA), $\geq 2\%$ (ROA). Changes can be made by foreign banks is to take advantage of the excess of assets held, so that they can increase profit earnings, as well as the performance in general anticipate bad debts in the previous period to be more careful in allocating the excess of assets owned.

KEYWORDS

foreign exchange bank, non-foreign exchange bank, financial performance

INTRODUCTION

Indonesian banking world has experienced many changes from time to time. This change is caused by other than the internal development of the banking sector and the economy outside the banking sector, such as the real sector, technological, political, legal, social, macroeconomic and competition. In development activities, fund management is almost entirely done by the financial institutions either bank financial institutions, financial institutions are not banks and financial institutions insurance. But that looks more dominant financial institution is a bank.

Growth in the number of banks in 2006 according to the annual report of Bank Indonesia's 128 banks appeared to bring the economy to a new stage in its development. The amount of money in circulation at the end of the year 2000 of IDR. 166.13 trillion, the amount of giro IDR. 155.07 trillion, the amount of securities that are issued IDR. 276.32 billion. The role of the banking sector in mobilization of public funds for various purposes has been increased which is very large. The banking sector, which had previously not only more as a facilitator of the government and some large companies, has turned into very influential sectors of the economy. Once the importance of the role of banks and financial institutions, particularly in general, demanding the government through the Central Bank to perform guidance, direction and supervision to all the existing banks, both government banks, private national banks, foreign banks and the credit bank of people.

In the mid 1980s various deregulation issued by the government to stimulate the life of the financial sector generally and the banking industry in particular has already started in 1988 through the Policy Package October 27, 1988 (PAKTO) deregulation of the banking sector. This policy covers areas of financial, monetary and banking. Policy in the field of banking, among others, include the ease of opening offices in banks, financial institutions and non-bank, approve the establishment of new private sector bank with the determination of capital requirements at least paid IDR 12.2 billion, also provides an opportunity to establish the Credit Bank of People (BPR) with the minimum capital IDR 50 million, and simplify the requirements for banks to become bank foreign exchange. After the release of deregulation is, in the period 1988-1996 the banking business in Indonesia experienced a very rapid development. At the end of 2000 banks around the 91.22% market shares in the financial sector. According to Bureau of Research Information Bank, the banking industry over 91.26

percent market share in India's financial, insurance industry, followed by 3.11 percent, 4.12 percent of pension funds, industry, finance 3.11 percent, 0.77 percent securities, and pawnshop 0.51 percent, (Schmit, 2004).

The rapid growth that was not able to encourage the creation of a strong banking industry. Financial crisis of Indonesia in the mid-1997 gave a very bad impact on the banking sector. Some of the key indicators in the banking sector in 1998 are located in a very bad condition. The performance of the national banking industry at that time far worse than the condition of banks in some Asian countries are also experiencing economic crises, such as South Korea, Malaysia, the Philippines and Thailand. Non Performing Loan (NPL) commercial banks reached 52 percent, the level of the banking industry profits are at the point minus 16.2 percent, and the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) indicates the condition of minus 14.65 percent, (Hawkins, 1999). The banking sector due to the economic crisis forced the government to liquidate the bank is not considered healthy and is not feasible to operate. This resulted in the emergence of the crisis of confidence in the banking industry.

Table 1.
The Prime of Banking Indicators 2000-2009 (Rp Trilion)

The Prime Indicators	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Assets	1.112,2	1.213,5	1.272,1	1.469,8	1.465,3	1.519,4	1.578,2	1.605,2	1.622,7	1.631,1
TPF	835,8	888,6	963,1	1.127,9	1.123,9	1.168,3	1.205,5	1.233,6	1.242,1	1.247,9
Credit	371,1	440,5	559,5	695,6	687,2	715,1	746,4	755,0	786,5	795,6

Source: The Indonesia Banking Statistics

In short can be explained that the development of the Bank of Indonesia to the fluctuation. The looking at the conditions and problems in the Indonesian banking sector, after the rupiah's exchange rate crisis that followed the performance of economic decline in Indonesia 1997-1998. To restore the level of public confidence and the role of banks as institutions intermediaries, the bank required the performance of healthy intermediaries so that the process can run smoothly and the level of trust the community recovers. The performance of banks in the banking industry can be measured by the ratio of some financial reports.

Table 2.
Foreign Exchange Banks Ratio (%)

Ratio	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
CAR	23.33	22.90	22.44	19.43	19.42	19.39	16.21	15.36	13.35	11.43
NPL	4.87	4.54	3.46	4.78	3.22	3.09	3.56	3.98	3.08	2.88
LDR	37.77	38.21	38.24	43.52	49.96	59.66	63.22	63.89	65.44	66.21
ROA	1.44	1.67	1.96	2.63	3.46	2.55	3.66	4.44	3.51	4.78
ROE	53.30	55.48	56.76	58.22	60.08	62.33	66.21	69.55	75.66	78.82
EA	83.12	83.49	85.54	85.99	86.10	86.68	87.33	86.99	87.48	88.02

Source: Indonesian Banking Statistics

How to improve the financial performance of banks through the analysis of foreign exchange and non-foreign exchange strategy and productivity?. The variables that are limited by the author is to measure the performance of foreign exchange bank and non-foreign exchange banks during the financial period 2000-2009, based on financial reports quarterly publication of the Bank Indonesia. Discussion on the main performance indicator variables, namely banking, Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Return on Equity (ROE), Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR), Non Performing Loan (NPL), Earning Ability (EA) and Return on Asset (ROA).

Table 3.
Non-Foreign Exchange Banks Ratio (%)

Ratio	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
CAR	20.76	21.90	22,11	19,09	18,01	17,11	17.87	16.32	15.45	14.32

NPL	6.44	5.40	5.21	4.39	5.11	4.98	4.55	4.21	3.83	3.42
LDR	28.88	30.32	31.11	33.98	34.83	50.66	53.33	55.20	57.12	63.22
ROA	1.22	1.34	1.87	1.99	2.37	2.84	3.98	3.76	4.21	4.22
ROE	58.32	55.22	57.98	57.33	59.87	61.98	65.98	70.32	73.36	74.33
EA	80.23	82.33	83.98	82.87	84.11	85.44	86.44	87.93	86.32	87.77

Source: Indonesian Banking Statistics

THEORETICAL STUDY

An organization, normally a corporation, chartered by the state of federal government, the principal functions of which are (a) to reserve demand and time deposits, honor instruments drawn against them and pay interest on them as permitted by law; (b) to discount notes, makes loans, and invest in government or other securities; (c) to collect checks, draft, notes and so on; (d) to issue drafts and cashier's check; (e) to certify depositor's checks; and (f) when authorized by a chartering government to act in fiduciary capacity. Definition of conclusions can be drawn that the bank is a business body that act as financial intermediaries in the economy, with the assertion that funds in the grouping is for the productive business sector with the aim of improving the standard of living many people. The main function of banks is to receive, among other savings, for example, in the form of savings and deposits, from the customers, to give interest in accordance with applicable law, collect on the check based on customer demand, providing credit, or embed the excess and reserve until needed for the payment of back. General bank in accordance with the Act No.10 Year 1998 is a bank conducting business activities in the conventional and syari'ah principles based or in activities that provide services in the traffic of payment. According to (Bassett 2002; Kasmir 2003), the type of bank ownership in terms of views means is anyone who has a bank certificate of choice and control of shares owned by the bank concerned. Data banks in Indonesia from the years 2000 - 2009 listed in the Bank of Indonesia amounted to 128 banks. There are 26 foreign exchange banks and 28 non-foreign exchange banks as sample.

Two different studies by Bassett and Brady (2001; 2002) examined recent performance of community banks. The 2001 study found that many small banks from 1985-2000 vanished through mergers and acquisitions. Increased competition with stock, bond and mutual fund investments may have weakened the competitive position of small banks. These community banks, nevertheless, were able to compete effectively against larger banks due in part to superior knowledge of local loan markets combined with a reluctance of customers to bank with out-of area institutions. Bassett and Brady's (2002) study found that small banks grew more rapidly than large banks from 1985-2001 with profitability remained at a high level. While interest costs increased, this was more than offset by higher returns on earning assets.

Financial statement is the product of financial accounting process. This process is government by generally accepted accounting principle, which determines them information that is included, how it is organized, measured, combined, and finally how it is presented in the financial statement. "American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Accounting Principles Board (APB) Statement No.4, Basic Concept and Accounting Principles Underlying Financial Statement of Business Enterprises, AICPA, New York, 1970."

Performance can be measured with the bank to analyze financial reports. In analyzing financial statements, the financial performance of the basic tools used to predict the position and financial performance in the future. Some of the bank's performance is measured based on the financial ratio is Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR), and the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR). Return on assets is the ability of banks to obtain a return on some assets owned by banks. Return on assets can be obtained with the ratio between the profits before taxes by total assets. Return on Equity indicator is the ability to manage capital in the banks that are available to obtain the net profit. ROE can be obtained by calculating the ratio between the profits after tax to total equity. Loan to Deposit Ratio indicator is the ability to pay in the banking community and all funds with their own capital to control the credit is distributed to the public. LDR can be obtained with the ratio between the total loans, with a total deposit. Capital Adequacy Ratio is the ratio that shows how large the number of all bank assets that contain risks (credit, including, securities, bank charges on the other) joined financed from their own capital in addition to obtaining funds from sources outside the bank. Bank of Indonesia to use CAR for the bank group level of health in addition to NPL (Bank of Indonesia, 2005). Bank's financial performance reflects the ability of banks operating in the field of union funds, funds, technology and human resources. Financial performance of the bank are the bank's financial condition during the period of the particular aspects of the union funds and funds that are usually measured by indicators capital adequacy, liquidity, and the probability a bank (Back et., al. 1996; Altunbas, 2001).

RESEARCH METHOD

Objects used in this research are the publication of quarterly financial reports Public Private National Bank foreign exchange earned and non-foreign exchange earned from the Bank Indonesia. Period quarterly financial reports that are collected for 10 years, namely 2000 - 2009 in the form of balance sheet and profit and loss reports from the respective bank. In this research will use a sample of 54 private commercial banks national income. This study attempts to see the performance of the foreign exchange bank and non-foreign exchange bank. The sample is limited to the 30 fruit bank foreign exchange. Bank is selected based on quarterly financial report that foreign and non-foreign banks have been published by Bank of Indonesia during the period 2000 to 2009. The amount of data that is treated as assumed. Grouping presented the following criteria based on the bank of over 2000 - 2009. Analyze for 26 foreign exchange banks and 28 non-foreign exchange banks.

Method used in this research includes qualitative and quantitative analysis of secondary data obtained from various sources. Data used in this research will come from the secondary data available to the public, such as data on the internet. In this research the author to obtain data such as financial reports from the bank through the Bank Indonesia www.bi.go.id site than through the site, the author conducted a study to strengthen the library and support the writing of this, namely disjoint theories that are required in the discussion of the problem. Done with and learn how to read books, literature, journals, and writings relating to the issues that will be examined. Variable is a concept that has many different values. The variables of this research, namely: Free variables are the variables that influence or for which the changes or the occurrence of bound variables. In this research consists of a variable-free. Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR), Non Performing Loan (NPL), Earning Ability (EA). Bound variable is a variable that is affected or to become due, because of the variable-free. In this research the variables are bound, the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR). Test analysis tool that is used to test different test sample using a pair Wilcoxon and multiple regression.

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Foreign exchange bank is a bank which can conduct transactions to foreign countries or related to the currency as a whole, for example, to transfer abroad, payment abroad, traveler's checks, and payment of Letter of Credit and other transactions. The requirements to become a foreign exchange bank are determined by Bank Indonesia. In the CAR test multi co linearity foreign exchange bank 2000-2009. Based on the table at the top of the CAR on bank shows that income does not have free variables that have a tolerance value less than 10%, which means there is no correlation between variables. The result of the calculation the value of variance Inflation Factor (VIF) also shows the same thing that is not a free variable that has a VIF value of more than 10, testing can be done in the Eigen value, where there is no variable-free approach 0 and the price on condition Index value does not exceed 15. Thus, not suspected of multi co linearity problem. So it can be concluded that there is no free multi co linearity between variables in the model. CAR at the foreign exchange bank 2000-2009 through Durbin-Watson value of 1.783, compared to the value ($\alpha = 0.05$: $n = 30$ and $k = 5$) obtained $dl = 1.21$ and $du = 1.66$, because the $DW > du$ ($1.783 > 1.66$), it can be said in this model does not occur autocorrelation. Scatter plot graph of CAR on bank foreign exchange collection point does not appear to form a certain pattern. Thus it can be said that regression is not to crash so heteroscedastisity regression model used is feasible to predict based on the CAR that is free to enter the variables ROA, ROE, and LDR. Model 0.000 values significantly < 0.05 and $F \leq 2.87$ calculated in 2.438 received the H_0 and test linearity met. Average ROA, LDR, NPL, EA and ROE bank foreign exchange and non-foreign exchange bank there is a negative value, which means that there are a few samples of the loss so that the return value negative. Average ROA of 2000 - 2009 is 3.71% with the lowest ROA -7.11% owned by Permata Bank and the highest ROA of 98.72% is owned by the Ganesha bank. Average ROA of 2000-2009 is 4.54% with the lowest ROA of -7.11% is owned by Permata Bank ROA and the highest 98.72% owned by the bank Ganesha.

Average ROE both types of Ganesha, the period of 2000-2009 is 11.10% for foreign exchange and non-foreign exchange banks, the average ROE is 13.45% (greater than the average ROE both types of banks) with the lowest ROE of -14.76 Ganesha is owned by the bank and the highest ROE 25.66 % owned by commerce bank, while for non-bank foreign exchange average ROE is 6.22% (less than the average ROE both types of banks) with the lowest ROE of -86.51% owned bank of Federalism Indonesian and highest ROE 31.60% owned by the National Retirement Savings bank.

Average LDR both types of banks, the period of 2000-2009 is 72.29% for foreign banks, the average LDR period of 2000-2009 is 70.14% (smaller than the average of the two types of banks LDR) with the lowest LDR of 28.00% is owned by Permata and the bank's highest LDR 188.90 % owned by Eksekutif International Bank, while for non-foreign exchange bank LDR average period of 2000-2009 is 74.43% (more than the average of the two types of banks LDR) with the lowest LDR of 23.85% is owned Eksekutif International Bank and LDR highest 126.35% owned by the bank's of Welfare Economics. Average CAR both types of banks, the period of 2000-2009 is 26.53% for foreign banks, the average CAR period of 2000-2009 is 19.09% (smaller than the average CAR both types of banks) with the lowest CAR of 9.95% is owned by the bank and the CAR's highest Mayora 24.21 % owned by Bumiputera Indonesia Bank, while for non-foreign exchange bank average CAR

period of 2000-2009 is 33.97% (more than the average CAR both types of banks) with the lowest CAR of -11.20% owned bank federalism Indonesia and highest CAR 181.85% owned by the Eksekutif International Bank on the table for calculating the value of Z ROA 2004 with 2005 and ROA in 2005 with 2006 where Z is -1022 and -1201 is greater than Z table values of -1960 and significance 0307 and 0230 more than the 0.05 level of significance. Ho so that received Ha and rejected, or in other words ROA foreign exchange bank in 2005 did not differ significantly with ROA 2006 and foreign exchange bank in 2006 did not differ significantly with ROA year 2007.

Average NPL both types of banks, the period of 2000-2009 is 3.8% for foreign exchange banks, the average NPL period of 2000-2009 is 4.8% for non-foreign exchange banks with the lowest NPL of 4.87% is owned by Swadesi bank's highest NPL 2.88% owned by Mega bank, while for non-foreign exchange bank NPL average period of 2000-2009 is 4.8% with the lowest NPL of 6.44% is owned Ina Perdana bank and NPL highest 3.42% owned by the BTPN bank. Average EA both types of banks, the period of 2000-2009 is 86.01% for foreign exchange banks, the average EA period of 2000-2009 is 84.74% for non-foreign exchange banks with the lowest EA of 83.12% is owned by Kesawan bank's highest EA 88.02% owned by Central Asia bank, while for non-foreign exchange bank EA average period of 2000-2009 is 84.74% with the lowest EA of 80.23% is owned Ina Selindo bank and EA highest 87.77% owned by the Artos Indonesia bank.

Similarly ROA for 2005 with 2006 Z where -2554 is lower than the Z table of significance value -1976 and 0011 was less than 0.05 level of significances. So Ha Ho rejected and accepted, or in other words ROA foreign exchange bank in 2005 differ significantly with ROA year 2006. ROA for 2006 with 2007 is equal to -1222, which means more than the Z table values of -1960 and significance 0258 more than the 0.05 level of significance. Ho so that received Ha and rejected, or in other words ROA foreign exchange bank in 2006 did not differ significantly with ROA year 2007 on the table for calculating the value of Z in 2003 with the ROE in 2004 and 2004 with 2005 is equal to -2469 and -3293, which means less than the Z table values of -1960 and significance 0014 and 0001 was less than 0.05 level of significance. So Ha Ho rejected and accepted, or in other words ROE foreign exchange bank in 2003 with a significantly different ROE 2004, ROE and foreign exchange bank in 2004 with a significantly different ROE for 2005.

Similarly ROE for 2005 with 2006 Z where -3159 is lower than the Z table of significance value -1960 and 0002 was less than 0.05 level of significances. So Ha Ho rejected and accepted, or in other words foreign exchange bank ROE 2005, ROE varies significantly with the year 2006. ROE for 2006 with 2007 Z where -0433 is greater than the Z table values of -1960 and significance 0665 more than the 0.05 level of significance. Ho so that received Ha and rejected, or in other words ROE foreign exchange bank in 2006 did not differ significantly with ROE in 2007 on the table for calculating the value of Z LDR 2003 with 2004 is equal to -3014 and -2438, which means less than the Z table values of -1960 and significance 0003 and 0015 less than the significance level of 0.05. So Ha Ho rejected and accepted, or in other words LDR foreign exchange bank in 2003 with a significantly different LDR 2004 and LDR foreign exchange bank in 2004 with the LDR significantly different in 2005. So also for LDR 2005 with 2006 and the year 2006 with the LDR in 2007 where Z is of -0227 and -1492 is greater than Z table values of -1960 and significance 0820 and 0136 more than the 0.05 level of significance. Ho so that received Ha and rejected, or in other words LDR foreign exchange bank in 2005 did not differ significantly with the year 2006 and LDR foreign exchange bank in 2006 did not differ significantly in 2007 with the LDR on the table for calculating the value of Z CAR 2003 CAR 2004 and the year 2004 with 2005 where Z is of -1256 and -0752 is greater than Z table values of -1960 and significance 0209 and 0452 more than the 0.05 level of significance. Ho so that received Ha and rejected, or in other words CAR foreign exchange bank in 2003 did not differ significantly with the CAR and the CAR in 2004 foreign exchange bank in 2004 did not differ significantly with the CAR in 2005. Similarly for the CAR in 2006 and 2005 with the CAR in 2006 with 2007 where Z is of -1664 and -0127 is greater than Z table values of -1960 and significance 0096 and 0899 more than the 0.05 level of significance.

Ho so that received Ha and rejected, or in other words CAR foreign exchange bank in 2005 did not differ significantly with the CAR and the CAR of 2006 foreign exchange bank in 2006 did not differ significantly with the CAR in 2007. 4.19 on the table for calculating the value of Z ROA bank foreign exchange with non-foreign exchange bank is greater than -0010 Z table of -1960 and significance value greater than the 0.05 level of significance. Ho so that received Ha and rejected, or in other words foreign exchange bank ROA is not significantly different with the non ROA income. Z value calculated ROE for banks with foreign non-foreign exchange bank ROE -2314 is lower than the Z table of -1960 and significance value less than 0.05 level of significance. So Ha Ho rejected and accepted, or in other words ROE foreign exchange bank with ROE significantly different non-foreign exchange bank. Z values calculated for LDR bank foreign exchange with non-foreign exchange bank is greater than -1244 Z table of -1960 and significance value greater than the 0.05 level of significance. Ho so that received Ha and rejected, or in other words LDR foreign bank does not vary significantly with income non LDR. Z values calculated for the foreign exchange banks with CAR non-foreign exchange bank is greater than -1592 Z table of -1960 and significance value greater than the 0.05 level of

significance. So H_0 is rejected and accepted, or in other words CAR bank foreign exchange does not vary significantly with the CAR non-foreign exchange bank.

Coefficient value shows that only a calculated value of ROA for 5109 is greater than the table t in 2045. This is supported by the value significance that is less than 0.05 level of significances. So H_0 is rejected and accepted, this means that the Bank's foreign exchange ROA significantly affect the level of capital adequacy is measured with the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR). Value in the ANOVAs table 4:21 shows that the ROA, a significant effect on the CAR, this we can see from the calculated $F_{11,268} > 2.89$ from the F table value and significance $0000 < 0.05$ significance level. H_0 is rejected and so the H_a is received, the CAR for foreign exchange bank is influenced by the ROA with constant coefficients 0350 and 13,532 in a linear regression equation is significant at the 95% level of trust. Without the ROA, the level of capital adequacy is 13,532. Given the size of the ROA level capital adequacy is 13,532 and each increase in ROA of 1 will increase the CAR of 0350. There is the influence of the foreign exchange bank ROA level health bank is a 0565 or as much as 56.50% and 43.50% influenced by other factors.

Value shows that only the value of t calculated for the constant of 46,309 and ROA 3159 is larger than the table t in 2045. This is supported by the value significance that is less than 0.05. So H_0 is rejected and accepted, this means that the foreign exchange bank ROA and non-foreign exchange bank effect of the significant level of capital adequacy is measured with the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR). ANOVAs value of 4:27 in the table shows that the ROA is significant to the CAR, this we can see from the countdown $7877 F > 2.89$ and the significance values $0046 < 0.05$ significance level. H_0 is rejected and so the H_a is received, the CAR for foreign exchange banks and non-foreign exchange bank is influenced by the ROA with constant coefficients 3159 and 46,309 in a linear regression equation is significant at the 95% level of trust.

ROA, without the size of the capital adequacy rate is 46,309 and each increase in ROA of 1 will increase the CAR of 3159. There is the influence of foreign bank ROA and non-foreign exchange bank to the level of health bank is a 0622 or as much as 62.20% and 37.80% influenced by other factors, of the three independent variables used, a variable ROA determines capital adequacy ratio of non-foreign exchange bank and foreign exchange bank, but is not influenced by the ROE and LDR. ROA as the determination of control variables that affect the CAR shows assets, especially assets that can generate revenue/profit, as well as the risks that affect the ability of bank capital. Optimal management of assets that will generate the maximum return, which automatically increases the bank's capital. Where capital is used to pay for the assets of the bank-risk (credit, including, securities, on the other bank charges).

CONCLUSION

Foreign exchange bank that has the quality of facilities and better services, and capital assets and supported the less able to show it has increased performance, namely in terms of achievement of profit. Less successful foreign exchange bank in its performance due to increase assets and capital is not managed optimally.

On the non-foreign exchange bank with the limitations that are owned, this bank is able to demonstrate performance. This we can see with the ability of non-foreign exchange bank to increase ROA and LDR.

The other hand, shows that less than optimal performance of both the bank, especially foreign exchange bank, is to see ROA, ROE, LDR, CAR is owned by the bank that does not comply with the standards required by Bank Indonesia, that is $\geq 12\%$ (CAR), $\geq 12\%$ (ROE), 85% - 110% (LDR), $\geq 5\%$ (NPL), $\geq 90\%$ (EA), $\geq 2\%$ (ROA).

Changes may be made by both banks is how to utilize the excess of assets held, so the acquisition could increase the profit, while its performance in general. Of course, as the incidence of bad debts in the past, foreign exchange banks and non-foreign exchange bank should be more careful in allocating the surplus assets that are owned.

REFERENCES

- Agung, Juda (1998). *Financial Deregulation and Bank lending channel in Developing Countries: The case of Indonesia*. Asian Economic Journal, Sept., 12(3), pp. 273-294.
- Agung, Juda (2000). *Financial Constraints, Firms Investment and the Channel of Monetary Policy in Indonesia*. Applied Economics. Volume 32, 13, pp.1637-1646(10).
- Altunbas, Yener, et., al. (2001). Bank Ownership And Efficiency. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking. Vol. 33, No. 4.
- Bank Indonesia., (1995), Surat Keputusan No. 27/KEP/DIR tanggal 25 Januari
- Back, Barbro Laitenen, T. Sere, K. dan Wezel, M. V. (1996), *Choosing Bankruptcy Predictors Using Discriminant Analysis, Logit Analysis and Genetic Algorithms*, Turkey Center for Computer Science, September.
- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, (1997), *Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision*, Bank for International Settlements, Basel, Switzerland, April.

- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, (2000), *Principles for the Management of Credit Risk*, Bank for International Settlements, Basel, Switzerland, September.
- Bassett, W. F. & Brady, T. F. (2001). "The Economic Performance of Small Banks, 1985-2000," *Federal Reserve Bulletin*, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 719-728.
- Bassett, W. F. & Brady, T. F. (2002), "What Drives Persistent Competitiveness of Small Banks?" Working Paper, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 28, 1-43.
- Brigham, Eugene F., and Louis C. Gapensky., (1999) *Financial Management Theory and Practice*. Ninth Edition. Orlando, FL : The Dryden Press.
- Fraser, D. R. B. E. and J. W. Kolari (2001). *Commercial Banking: The Management of Risk*. South-Western College Publishing, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- Hannan, T. (1980). "Expense-Preference Theory in Banking: A Reexamination" *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 87, 891-895.
- Hannan, T. & Mavinga, F. (1980). "Expense Preference and Managerial Control: The Case of the Banking Firm", *The Bell Journal of Economics*, Vol. 11, No.2, 671-682.
- Kajian Stabilitas Keuangan, Bank Indonesia, September 2005.
- O'Hara, M. (1983). A Dynamic Theory of the Banking Firm. *The Journal of Finance* 38, 127-140.
- Schmit, M. (2004). Credit Risk in the Leasing Industry. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 28, 811-833.
- Treacy, W.F., and M. Carey (2000). Credit Risk Rating Systems at Large U.S. Banks. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 24, 167-201.
- _____, Annual Report Bank of Indonesia 2002. Bank Indonesia.
- _____, Annual Report Bank of Indonesia 2003. Bank Indonesia.
- _____, Annual Report Bank of Indonesia 2004. Bank Indonesia.
- _____, Annual Report Bank of Indonesia 2005. Bank Indonesia.
- _____, Annual Report Bank of Indonesia 2006. Bank Indonesia.
- _____, Annual Report Bank of Indonesia 2007, Bank Indonesia.
- _____, Annual Report Bank of Indonesia 2008. Bank Indonesia.
- _____, Annual Report Bank of Indonesia 2009. Bank Indonesia.

